

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,201	11/20/2003	Ryoichi Mukai	0941.68754	9822
7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr.			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
	10/718,201	MUKAI, RYOICHI	7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowa	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a grade of this communication, even application to be application to become a grade of this communication, even application is non-final.	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). if timely filed, may reduce any	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 and 14 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from considerat	ion.	
Application Papers			•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ag(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/03,3/29/04.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 and 13, in the reply filed on 4/26/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examination of both groups would not place an undue burden on the Examiner because the non-elected claims share several common features with the elected claims. This is not found persuasive because the two groups are patentably distinct and the search required for the method claims is not required for the article claims. As such, examination of both groups would place an undue burden on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Interpretation

2. Claim 5 sets forth a Markush group that includes "CoPt alloy-SiO₂, CoPt based alloy-SiO₂, CoPt alloy-Al₂O₃, and CoPt based alloy-Al₂O₃." The terms "CoPt alloy" and "CoPt based alloy" are open expressions, both of which allow for the presence of unrecited elements. While the claim is not indefinite, the aforementioned expressions are considered to be redundant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the

Art Unit: 1773

United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryonai et al. (US 6242085).

Ryonai et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, a Cr alloy underlayer, and two Co-based magnetic layers containing silicon nitride, silica, or alumina (col. 3, lines 51-53; col. 4, lines 16-22 and 30-34.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakawaki et al. (US 2002/0160234).

Sakawaki et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a Cr underlayer on a substrate and three magnetic layers thereon. The magnetic layers are fromed from a granular Co-based alloy containing silica, alumina, or silicon nitride (paragraphs 97-98, 107, 196-197, 223 and Fig 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryonai et al. (US 6242085), in view of Takahashi et al. (US 6119483).

Ryonai et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims, as detailed above, except for the presence of a Cr or Cr alloy layer on top of the magnetic recording

Art Unit: 1773

layer. Instead the reference teaches the use of a protective overcoat on the magnetic layer which is formed from C (col. 3, lines 54-55).

Takahashi et al. teach a magnetic recording medium having a granular magnetic layer and a protective overlayer thereon which is formed from a material such as C or Cr (col. 8, lines 42-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute a Cr overcoat for the C overcoat taught by Ryonai et al. in view of the teaching of equivalence of the two materials set forth by Takahashi et al.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakawaki et al. (US 2002/0160234), in view of Takahashi et al. (US 6119483).

Sakawaki et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims, as detailed above, except for the presence of a Cr or Cr alloy layer on top of the magnetic recording layer. Instead the reference teaches the use of a protective overcoat on the magnetic layer which is formed from C.

Takahashi et al. teach a magnetic recording medium having a granular magnetic layer and a protective overlayer thereon which is formed from a material such as C or Cr (col. 8, lines 42-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute a Cr overcoat for the C overcoat taught by Sakawaki et al. in view of the teaching of equivalence of the two materials set forth by Takahashi et al.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

hr July 9, 2004